

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,062	07/11/2003	John R. Stowell	PD-02W150	4327
23915	7590 10/20/2006	EXAMINER		
PATENT DO	OCKET ADMINISTR	PARRIES, DRU M		
RAYTHEON	SYSTEMS COMPANY	•		
P.O. BOX 902	2 (E1/E150)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG E1 M S	S E150		2836	
EL SEGUND	O, CA 90245-0902		S. 777	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,062	STOWELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dru M. Parries	2836	

		Dia in. i allies	2030	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED 14 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
this plac a R time	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) 🖂	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDM</u>		·	, ,	
(a) [(b) [e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) [They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(4)	appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally re-	iacted claims	
(0)[They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jecteu ciaims.	
4 □ Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).
6. Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a a-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
how The Cla	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is prosestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Cla	im(s) rejected:			
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	'IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Alberta and the detection of the second		
bec was	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
ente sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
	T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		may to bottom or attack	.50.
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1	
13. 🛛 Ot	her: See Continuation Sheet.		/1//	
			BRIAN SIR(- -

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations discussing the differences between the freewheeling and steering diodes raise new issues, along with the discussion of transformer windings controlling the switching elements, therefore these amendments would require further search from the Examiner. Also, the Applicant's arguments are most since they are all regarding the newly amended claims, that will not be entered.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Change of Address form is not effective because this document was not signed by an attorney of record in this case. Also, the Revocation and Power of Attorney is not effective because it wasn't signed by an authorized officer of the Assignee's company.